## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/687,246

Applicant(s)

Nelson et al

Examiner

Jehanne Souaya

Art Unit 1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	——————————————————————————————————————
(1) Jehanne Souaya	_ (3) <u>Cara Grifone</u>
(2) Lisa Haile	
Date of Interview Jan 7, 2003	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: N/A	
Identification of prior art discussed:  N/A	
The examiner indicated that the amendment did not contain representative agreed to fax a complete version of the main terms.	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or in a complete version of the marked up claims. Applicant's wrked up claims. The examiner further requested a copy of the fice action as well as the Steinmetz et al reference, as these entative agreed to fax copies of such.
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ  Juless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM,  NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPI  Biready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST EP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE d of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is in Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required